

D2.3 Life Cycle Indicators for next generation EPCs v1





The D^2EPC project has received funding from the EU's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 892984



| Project Acronym: | D^2EPC |
|---------------------|--|
| Project Full Title: | Next-generation Dynamic Digital EPCs for Enhanced Quality and User |
| | Awareness |
| Grant Agreement: | 892984 |
| Project Duration: | 36 months (01/09/2020 – 31/08/2023) |

DELIVERABLE D2.3 Life Cycle Indicators for

next generation EPCs v1

| Work Package: | WP2 – Development of the Operational Framework for dEPC Schemes (M6- | |
|-------------------|--|--|
| | M36) | |
| Task: | T2.3 – Energy performance & LCA Indicators Analysis for EPCs | |
| Document Status: | Final | |
| File Name: | D^2EPC_D2.3_Life Cycle Indicators for next generation EPCs_v1_FRC | |
| Due Date: | 28.02.2022 | |
| Submission Date: | 02.03.2022 | |
| Lead Beneficiary: | FRC | |

Dissemination Level

Public

Confidential, only for members of the Consortium (including the Commission Services)

 \boxtimes



Authors List

| | Leading Author | | | | |
|-----|--|------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| Fir | First Name Last Name Beneficiary Contact e-mail | | | | |
| Ph | oebe-Zoe | Georgali | FRC | res.gp@frederick.ac.cy | |
| Ра | ris | Fokaides | FRC | eng.fp@frederick.ac.cy | |
| | | 1 | Co-Author(s) | | |
| # | First Name | Last Name | Beneficiary | Contact e-mail | |
| 1 | Andrius | Jurelionis | КТU | andrius.jurelionis@ktu.lt | |
| 2 | Eglė | Klumbytė | KTU | egle.klumbyte@ktu.lt | |
| 3 | Jurgita | Černeckienė | КТU | jurgita.cerneckiene@ktu.lt | |
| 4 | Lina | Šeduikytė | КТU | lina.seduikyte@ktu.lt | |
| 5 | Adrian | Canocabanero | SGS | adrian.canocabanero@sgs.com | |
| 6 | Antonio | Domínguez | SGS | antonio.dominguez@sgs.com | |
| 7 | Estefanía | López Montesinos | SGS | estefania.lopezmontesinos@sgs.com | |
| 8 | Mercedes | Rodriguez | SGS | mercedes.rodriguez@sgs.com | |

Reviewers List

| Reviewers | | | | |
|--|---------|------|--------------------|--|
| First Name Last Name Beneficiary Contact e-mail | | | | |
| Christiana | Panteli | CLEO | cpanteli@cleopa.de | |
| Detlef Olschewski CLEO dolschewski@cleopa.de | | | | |



Version History

| v | Author | Date | Brief Description |
|-----|----------------------|------------|--|
| 0.1 | Phoebe-Zoe Georgali, | 18.10.2021 | Initial draft (ToC) |
| | Paris Fokaides | | |
| 0.2 | Phoebe-Zoe Georgali | 22.11.2021 | Initial document circulation. Draft version with |
| | Paris Fokaides | | initial content |
| 0.5 | Phoebe-Zoe Georgali | 18.01.2022 | Updated information including input from |
| | Paris Fokaides | | partners |
| 0.6 | Andrian Cano | 10.02.2022 | Suggestions concerning the deliverable layout/ |
| | Oscar Molins | | spelling/ format |
| 0.7 | Lina Šeduikytė | 14.02.2022 | Suggestions and comments throughout the |
| | Andrius Jurelionis | | document |
| 0.8 | Christiana Panteli | 24.02.2022 | Peer-review of the document |
| 1 | Phoebe-Zoe Georgali | 28.02.2022 | Final version ready for submission |
| | Paris Fokaides | | |

Legal Disclaimer

The D^2EPC project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 892984. The sole responsibility for the content of this publication lies with the authors. It does not necessarily reflect the opinion of the European Climate, Infrastructure and Environment Executive Agency (CINEA) or the European Commission (EC). CINEA or the EC are not responsible for any use that may be made of the information contained therein.

Copyright

© Frederick Research Center; 7 Frederickou Str., 1036, Nicosia, Cyprus. Copies of this publication – also of extracts thereof – may only be made with reference to the publisher.



Executive Summary

This deliverable is the result of Task 2.3 Energy Performance & Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) Indicators Analysis for Energy Performance Certificates (EPCs), which was created to provide environmental and energy indicators for the next generation of EPCs. The project's proposed set of indicators aims to improve EPC user-friendliness and raise user awareness by giving more knowledge.

A literature review was conducted in order to get an initial overview of the state-of-the-art, which included searching at existing standards, legislation, and schemes. On this foundation, the suggested approach was created. On this foundation, the approach was created. The goal was to give users a realistic view of the building's energy efficiency by comparing the conceptual design to the construction and operational stages, accounting for future needs and demands. Users will be able to evaluate the performance of their building based on this comparison. Environmental indicators will not only affect the building's energy class but will also be offered to the user as supplementary information. The guideline for obtaining required data concerning environmental and energy indicators and providing instructions for the calculation to all interested parties is the result of this task, as described in this deliverable.

The D^EPC indicators, which show how buildings perform in terms of energy and the environment, are proposed for inclusion in the next-generation EPCs. The Level(s) approach was used to develop the D^EPC environmental indicators. It's a useful foundation for improving environmental performance and resource usage, as well as reducing the impact of the built environment on global resources. The use of real-time data for the generation of energy indicators for EPCs contributes considerably to maximizing energy savings and achieving carbon reductions in buildings, as well as complementing SRIs, social, and economic indicators for the issuance of truly sustainable EPCs. The calculation of the energy indicators was conducted with the use of actual monitored values in the New Wing pilot building of Frederick Research Center (FRC), referring to the total power, heating, cooling, lighting, and electrical appliances of the building. The step-by-step representation of these indicators is shown in the Appendix.

Environmental and energy indicators for the next generation EPCs display energy efficiency values based on actual data consumption, as opposed to existing EPCs, which base some environmental indicators on design values and assumptions and do not include energy indicators at all. The second half of the task, which will take place in M34 – M36, will strengthen the present technique and test the methodology on a real-life example through the development of the project.



Table of Contents

| Ex | ecutive | Summary | 5 |
|----|---------|---|-----|
| 1 | Intro | duction | |
| | 1.1 | Scope and objectives of the deliverable | |
| | 1.2 | Structure of the deliverable | |
| | 1.3 | Relation to Other Tasks and Deliverables | .10 |
| 2 | Curre | ent status of standardization | .11 |
| | 2.1 | Sustainable construction performance techniques | .11 |
| | 2.2 | Environmental performance of buildings | .14 |
| | 2.3 | Product category rules | .15 |
| | 2.4 | Valuation of energy-related investments | .17 |
| | 2.5 | Sustainability performance of buildings | .19 |
| 3 | Meth | odology | .20 |
| | 3.1 | Calculation of Energy and Environmental D^2EPC indicators | .21 |
| | 3.1.1 | Energy Indicators | .21 |
| | 3.1.2 | Environmental Indicators | .24 |
| 4 | Level | (s) | .27 |
| | 4.1 | Environmental indicators | .27 |
| 5 | Oper | ational rating and energy indicators | .31 |
| | 5.1 | Energy performance indicators in general | .31 |
| | 5.2 | The numerator | .31 |
| | 5.3 | The denominator | .32 |
| 6 | Calcu | Ilation of Energy Indicators | .34 |
| | 6.1 | Power | |
| | 6.1.1 | Total Power/Occupancy | .34 |
| | 6.1.2 | Total Power/Occupancy-Hours | .34 |
| | 6.1.3 | Total Power/Area | .34 |
| | 6.1.4 | Total Power/Volume | .34 |
| | 6.2 | Heating | .34 |
| | 6.2.1 | Heating consumption/Occupancy | .35 |
| | 6.2.2 | Heating consumption/Occupancy-Hours | .35 |
| | 6.2.3 | Heating consumption/Area | .35 |
| | 6.2.4 | Heating consumption/Volume | .35 |
| | 6.2.5 | Weather-Normalized Heating Energy Consumption | .35 |
| | 6.3 | Cooling | .36 |
| | 6.3.1 | Cooling consumption/Occupancy | .36 |
| | 6.3.2 | Cooling consumption/Occupancy-Hours | .36 |
| | 6.3.3 | Cooling consumption/Area | .36 |
| | 6.3.4 | Cooling consumption/Volume | .37 |
| | 6.3.5 | Weather-Normalized Cooling Energy Consumption | .37 |
| | 6.4 | Lighting | .37 |
| | 6.4.1 | Lighting/Occupancy | .38 |
| | 6.4.2 | Lighting/Occupancy-Hours | .38 |
| | 6.4.3 | Lighting/Area | .38 |
| | 6.4.4 | Lighting/Volume | .38 |
| | 6.5 | Electrical Appliances Energy Consumption | .38 |
| | 6.5.1 | Electrical Appliances Energy Consumption /Occupancy | .38 |
| | 6.5.2 | | |
| | 6.5.3 | Electrical Appliances Energy Consumption /Area | .39 |
| | 6.5.4 | Electrical Appliances Energy Consumption /Volume | .39 |
| | | | |



| 6.6 | Domestic Hot Water | |
|---------|-------------------------------------|----|
| 6.6 | 5.1 DHW consumption/Occupancy | |
| 6.6 | 5.2 DHW consumption/Occupancy-Hours | 40 |
| 6.6 | 5.3 DHW consumption/Area | 40 |
| 6.6 | 5.4 DHW consumption/Volume | 40 |
| 7 Co | nclusions | 41 |
| Referen | ces | 42 |
| 8 Ap | pendix | 44 |
| 8.1 | | |
| 8.1 | | |
| 8.1 | 2 Heating | 45 |
| 8.1 | | 46 |
| 8.1 | | |
| 8.1 | 5 Electrical Appliances | 47 |
| | | |

List of Figures

| Figure 1: The concept of sustainability assessment of buildings [1] | 12 |
|--|----|
| Figure 2: The work program of CEN/TC 350 (the darkened boxes) [1] | 13 |
| Figure 3: Flowchart of the process for the assessment of the environmental performance [7] | |
| | 15 |
| Figure 4: Valuation procedure [9] | 18 |
| Figure 5: Environmental indicators extraction | 20 |
| Figure 6 System's boundaries for the LCA analysis materials | 21 |
| Figure 7: Diagram of Level(s) objectives | |

List of Tables

| Table 1: The three types of EPD | 16 |
|--|----|
| Table 2: Energy Indicators | |
| Table 3: LCA Indicators [11] | |
| Table 4: Table of life-cycle stages description [OneClick LCA] | |
| Table 5: Denominators often used in energy-use indicators | 32 |
| Table 6: Data collection for Electrical Appliances consumption of the second floor | 44 |



List of Acronyms and Abbreviations

| Term | Description |
|--------|--|
| BREEAM | BRE Environmental Assessment Method |
| CEN | European Committee for Standardization |
| D. | Deliverable |
| EPC | Energy Performance Certificate |
| EPD | Environmental Product Declaration |
| EU | European Union |
| ISO | International Organization for Standardization |
| LCA | Life Cycle Assessment |
| LCC | Life Cycle Costing |
| LCIA | Life Cycle Inventory Assessment |
| MS | Member State |
| PCR | Product category rules |
| SRI | Smart Readiness Indicators |
| т. | Task |
| VALERI | Valuation of Energy-Related Investments |
| WP. | Work Package |



1 Introduction

WP2 aims to analyze and define a set of indicators to be included in the next generation EPCs, including SRI, LCA, and economic indicators, by taking into account user-driven models (thermal/vision comfort, occupancy). Task 2.3: Energy performance & Life Cycle Assessment Indicators Analysis for EPCs has specific operational objectives. In particular, the main objective is to propose additional indicators that demonstrate buildings' environmental performance for their introduction in the next-generation EPCs. For the development of the environmental indicators, Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) methodologies and tools will be employed; LCA enables the assessment of the environmental impact of any system throughout its life cycle by considering the required input and associated output resources of that system. For the delivery of this task, it is critical to first implement a comprehensive literature review on the LCA of the energy performance of buildings, the type and functional units of the LCA Indicators for EPCs to be used will be defined — — examples of LCA Indicators include "Energy savings", expressed in "Embodied energy/ m²" and "Carbon reductions", expressed in "Carbon dioxide equivalent/ m²". Under this task, the methodology for using the real-time data collected for the development of the LCA Indicators will also be formulated, as well as relevant guidelines will be developed. This task highlights the importance of employing LCA methodologies for the efficient energy design of buildings and for enabling the parameterization of its embodied energy and primary energy demand to be included in dynamic EPCs. The approach to be adopted under this task is found aligned and will be implemented in accordance with the content of the IEA EBC Annex 72 concerning the Assessment of Life Cycle Related Environmental Impacts Caused by Buildings.

1.1 Scope and objectives of the deliverable

T2.3's purpose is to create simplified environmental and energy indicators that will improve the information conveyed by issuing a building certificate. This deliverable summarizes T2.3's overall activities and includes a set of environmental and energy indicators based on literature analysis of well-established standards and methodologies. The environmental KPIs allow for the conversion of various parts of a building's energy performance into energy efficiency normalized results, as well as the use of EPCs for the life cycle assessment of building upgrades. This is the first version of the deliverable, which will be upgraded and adjusted as the project progresses. The second version of the deliverable is scheduled for M36.

1.2 Structure of the deliverable

This report (D2.3) is the first part of the report on Energy performance & LCA Indicators Analysis for EPCs in national/regional certification schemes. Section 2 contains information on the current status of standardization. The methodology followed for identifying the set of indicators related to the environmental and energy performance of buildings is presented in Section 3. Section 4 refers to the Level(s) standard and the environmental indicators, while in Section 5 are introduced the energy indicators suggested through the D^2EPC project. Furthermore, in Section 6 is presented the calculation procedure of the energy indicators, and in Section 7 are presented the preliminary conclusions of this deliverable. Supplementary in the Appendix – Section 8, the calculations presented for the measurement of the energy indicators are followed with an example of the #4 pilot case – Frederick University New Wing pilot building.



1.3 Relation to Other Tasks and Deliverables

Task 2.3 for the issuing of truly sustainable EPCs will interact with T2.1, T2.2, T2.4, respectively, concerning the LCA Indicators for EPCs that will significantly contribute to the maximization of the energy savings and the achievement of carbon reductions of the buildings, as well as complement the SRIs, social and economic indicators.

In month thirty-four (M34), the annual review of data of T2.3 will be conducted based on the developments of the time and the new findings anticipated both by the project as well as from other EPC-related initiatives, such as research and innovation projects.

Due to the dynamic character of the field, the revision of D2.3: Comparative assessment of current EPC schemes and relevant emerging building performance paradigms v1, in month thirty-six (M36) will follow as D2.8.



2 Current status of standardization

Construction projects all across the globe rely on industry-driven standards and rules to ensure quality, safety, and sustainability. The increased demand for sustainable construction is a key problem for the global building sector nowadays. Green infrastructure is changing the way people plan, build, and run residential and commercial structures. As the sustainable construction industry gains momentum, European standards will continue to play a leadership role in accomplishing the aims of industry, government, consumers, and other stakeholders. Already, European standards contribute significantly to a more sustainable built environment. These standards, along with the actions of EU member states in this sector, form the foundation for the future of this expanding industry. In the sustainable development rating and certification systems adopted by the European construction sector, European standards influence the rise of sustainable buildings. By identifying sustainable construction qualities and implementing environmentally responsive behaviors, these initiatives assist stakeholders in navigating the complicated subject of sustainability.

2.1 Sustainable construction performance techniques

The European Standard **EN 15643** is one such initiative, which was established to promote more sustainable construction techniques. It is a group of a series written by CEN/TC 350 that provides a system for the sustainability assessment of buildings using a life cycle approach. The sustainability evaluation assesses the effects and elements of a building's environmental, social, and economic performance employing theoretical and practical metrics that are quantified without making conclusions. This set of European Standards enables the evaluation of sustainability to take place simultaneously and on equal terms, based on the same technical qualities and functioning of the examination object.

The outcomes of a sustainability assessment of the building provide values for the different types of indicators and information on the scenarios and building cycle stages included in the assessment.

As shown in **Figure 1**, evaluation at the building scale involves that the descriptive model of the building has been described in the client's request or the legislation, with the principal technical and operational specifications. Examinations can be carried out for the entire structure, for parts of the structure that can be used independently, or for individual components of the building. **Figure 1** illustrates how the operational equivalent, as well as technical and functional features that differ from those needed by the client's request or by guidelines, should be stated and presented with the performance assessment.

Although technical and functional performance evaluation is outside the choice of this series of standards, the practical and purposeful features considered within this approach are based on the functional equivalent, which incorporates theoretical and practical criteria and serves as the foundation for comparing outcome measures. The integrated design efficiency concept encompasses environmental, social, and economic performance and technical and functional efficiency, all of which are inextricably linked, as shown in **Figure 2**. Despite technical and functional efficiency are not included in this set of standards, its link with environmental, social, and economic performance is a requirement for assessing the sustainability of buildings and is therefore taken into account.





Figure 1: The perception of sustainability assessment of buildings [1]

The foundation requirements for building life cycle assessment, EN 15643, are divided into five parts as described at the "framework level" in **Figure 2**. The objectives of assessment of a building are similar for each of EN 15643 part series.

- To determine the (social/economic/sustainability) effects and characteristics of the building and its site or the civil engineering works in its area of influence;
- To enable the client, user, and designer to make decisions and choices that will help to address the need for sustainability of buildings or civil engineering works;
- For EN 15643-5, to demonstrate or communicate the sustainability performance of the civil engineering works.

Each section's goal is to establish a framework that includes ideas, objectives, and regulations:

- 1. (EN 15643-1) for the assessment of sustainable buildings
- 2. (EN 15643-2) for the assessment of the environmental performance of buildings. It examines the principles and procedures for evaluating a building's sustainability impact
- 3. (EN 15643-3) for the assessment of the social performance of a building
- 4. (EN 15643 4) for the assessment of the economic performance of a building
- (EN 15643 5) for the assessment of the environmental, social, and economic performance of civil engineering works by taking into consideration the European Standard ISO 21930 and ISO/TS 21929-2





Figure 2: The work program of CEN/TC 350 (the darkened boxes) [1]

The first European Standard of this series (EN 15643-1:2010) [1] was released in 2010, which focuses on the general principles and requirements for assessing the environmental, social, and economic performance of a building, taking into account construction works to sustainable construction and development. The concept is applicable to all forms of structures. It's useful for evaluating the environmental, social, and economic performance of new structures over their whole life cycle, as well as older buildings' residual value life and end-of-life stages. In order to ensure verifiability, transparency, and comparability in the assessment outcomes, the assessment techniques must be trustworthy, clear, and methodical. The assessment methods for the environmental, social, and economic performance of buildings given in the standards under this framework take into account performance aspects and impacts that can be expressed with quantitative and qualitative indicators, which are assessed without making any value judgments and lead to a clear result for each indicator.

The second European Standard of this series (EN 15643-2:2011) [2] was released in 2011 and it establishes particular concepts and procedures for evaluating a building's sustainability impact while considering its technological qualities and usefulness. The environmental component of sustainability is restricted to the evaluation of a building's environmental effects and features on the local, regional, and global environment. The evaluation is based on LCA and other measurable environmental data represented through defined indicators. It eliminates the evaluation of a building's contribution to environmental consequences and characteristics deriving from the movement of the building's occupants. Environmental risk analysis is also not included. The third European Standard of this series (EN 15643-3:2012) [3] was released in 2012 and It establishes particular criteria and conditions for



evaluating a building's performance outcomes while considering its technical qualities and operation. The social component of sustainability focuses on the evaluation of a building's characteristics and effects as indicated through measurable metrics. The indicators associated with social rating criteria are used to describe the social performance measures: accessibility, adaptability, health and comfort, loadings on the neighborhood, maintenance, safety/security, sourcing of materials and services, stakeholder involvement.

The forth European Standard of this series (EN 15643-4:2012) [4] was released as well in 2012 and establishes particular concepts and conditions for evaluating a building's economic growth while considering its technical attributes and functioning. The financial performance of a building takes into account the life cycle expenses and several other economic factors, all of which are measured using quantitative information. It does not include a building's economic risk analysis or return on invested capital estimates. It involves financial implications of a building linked to the built environment within the vicinity of the construction site; it excludes financial implications of a building made in relation to the built environment outside of the construction site, such as economic effects of local infrastructural development, economic consequences arising from transportation of building users, and economic consequences of a construction project on the local community.

The last European Standard of this series (EN 15643-5:2017) [6] was released in 2017 and is a system for assessing the long-term viability of civil engineering projects using a life cycle perspective. The sustainability evaluation uses quantifiable measures excluding value judgments to evaluate the environmental, social, and economic performance of civil engineering projects. The goal of this process is to allow assessment findings to be compared. It enables the evaluation of sustainability to take place simultaneously and on an equal basis due to the technical qualities and functionality of the assessment target. The findings of a civil engineering work sustainability evaluation disclose details on several types of indicators, associated civil engineering work scenarios, and life cycle stages covered in the analysis. Situations and a functional equivalent are established at the civil engineering projects phase while conducting assessments, which implies that the unique designs of the activities has been described in the client's brief, together with the primary technical and functional specifications or the regulations, as represented in **Figure 1** (where instead of a building is construction work).

2.2 Environmental performance of buildings

The European Standard EN 15978:2011 [7] follows the same logic with the EN 15643, prepared by the Technical Committee CEN/TC 350 "Sustainability of construction works". This European Specification defines the calculating method to assess a building's sustainability impact using LCA and other quantifiable environmental data, as well as the mechanisms for documenting and communicating the results of the evaluation. The standard applies to both new and existing structures, as well as refurbished projects. This European Standard supports the decision-making process and documentation of the assessment of the environmental performance of a building. The evaluation method includes all aspects of the building life cycle and is based on information from the environmental product declaration (EPD), EN 15804, and additional information needed and suitable for the assessment to be completed. The stages illustrated in Figure 3 should be followed in order to carry out and complete the calculations necessary for the assessment of the environmental performance of buildings. This will help ensure that the essential information is gathered and treated in agreement with the requirements of this European Standard. The intended use of the assessment of this standard may include assistance in a decision-making process, declaring performance with respect to legal requirements, documenting the environmental performance of a building for use in, and support for policy development.





Figure 3: Flowchart of the process for the assessment of the environmental performance [7]

2.3 Product category rules

With the global expansion of sustainable building construction has come an increase in sustainable product claims from manufacturers and suppliers. It is becoming increasingly difficult to understand the significance and legitimacy of these claims, whether based on certification schemes or specific corporate declarations. When selecting items that claim to be sustainable, building designers, builders, customers, and regulatory inspectors want trustworthy information on environmental effects. Manufacturers require programs that will assist them in establishing credibility in this expanding industry while also raising knowledge of how their goods and operations affect the



environment. The Environmental Product Declaration (EPD), a thorough report is documenting a product's influence on the environment during its lifetime, is one of the major instruments to assist producers in analyzing the genuine greenness of their goods. Product category rules (PCRs) are standards for creating environmental declarations for items that perform similar roles.

European Standards and other major organizations play an essential role in developing performance standards for green building certification systems such as BREEAM (BRE Environmental Assessment Method), the world's most commonly used method of analyzing and improving building environmental performance. Architects, contractors, material suppliers, realtors, and facility managers are relying heavily on technologies that identify best-in-class building practices and enable third-party verification in design, construction, and operation. One such standard is the **EN 15804:2014 [8]**, which is a PCR document for assessing the environmental performance of construction products. It describes BRE's methodology for creating an EPD for any construction product or service. These EPDs are ISO Type III environmental declarations providing quantified environmental data for predetermined indicators using independently verified LCA. EPD for construction materials is calculated in Europe based on the regulations of the European Union, like EN 15804: Sustainability of construction works – Environmental product declarations – Core rules for the product category of construction products.

There are three types of EPD based on this PCR document, as shown in **Table 1**. It is possible to have an EPD for a material e.g. cement, a product of component e.g. brick or bricks and mortar, and for an assembly of products or components, such as a building element e.g. an external wall, which can then be used at both product level and building level assessments. The EPD of an assembly of materials, products, and components can integrate the outcomes of the EPD of all the constituent materials and construction products. In principle, comparison of the environmental performance of construction products using EPD information shall only be carried out at the building level using the same functional unit in a complete life cycle (cradle to grave EPD), as it should be based on their use in and impacts on the building.

| EPD | EPD Life cycle stages included | | Use for distinction |
|--------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|
| Туре | | | |
| Cradle to gate | Covering product stage information A1 to A3 (raw material supply, transportation, manufacturing of products, and all upstream processes from cradle to gate). This encompasses the least of procedures that shall be required in a declaration for compliance with EN15804:2012 | Declared unit | Shall not be used for comparison |
| Cradle to gate with options | Covering product stage information as a minimum, plus any supplementary information components from mutually the use stage and the end-of-life stage (B1 through to C4). Benefits and loads outside the system boundary (Module D) may be involved. | Declared or functional unit | Should not be used as a benchmark |
| Cradle to grave | As a baseline, all life cycle phases should be covered, covering end-of-life at or after the research process. Benefits and loads that extend outside the system border (Module D) are possible to be involved. | Functional unit | If the functional unit is comparable, it could be employed comparative |

Table 1: The three types of EPD



There are two categories of information not derived from LCA but addressed by this PCR. The first category is about additional technical information, consisting of physical data characterizing the product's functional performance during the life cycle beyond the production stage of the life cycle, i.e., in construction, use, and end-of-life stages shall be provided by the manufacturer where applicable. This information is used to support the consistent development of scenarios in respective modules for the evaluation of these life cycle stages at the building level. The second category provides additional information on emissions to indoor air, soil, and water during the use stage, describing the release of dangerous substances, which are not covered by an impact assessment, subject to the availability of harmonized measurement methods as provided by the Technical Committees.

The environmental information in a BRE EPD covering all life cycle stages (cradle to grave), as specified in Table 1, shall be subdivided into product stage, construction process stage, use stage, as well as benefits and loads outside the system border. The product stage comprises raw material source, counting processing secondary material input, transporting raw and secondary material to the producer, manufacturing the construction products, and all challenging procedures from cradle to gate. The construction process stage comprises the transport of construction products to the building site and the building installation - construction. The use stage associated with building fabric consists of the use of the installed product, service, or appliance, the maintenance, repair, replacement of the product, and the refurbishment of the construction product. The use stage related to the operation of the building consists of operational energy and water use. The end-of-life stage comprises demolition of the building/building product, transportation of the demolition waste comprising the end-of-life construction product to waste processing facility, waste processing operations for reuse, recovery, or recycling, and final disposal of end-of-life construction product. Benefits and loads beyond the system boundary consist of reuse/recovery/recycling potential evaluated as net impacts and benefits. In order to evaluate the impacts of the product in the life cycle stages that depend on the building context, scenarios have to be defined to identify the specific conditions and assumptions of evaluation. This is to ensure that the product data used in this product level assessment are applicable in a building level assessment.

It is deemed good practice to make the information available to the verifier to enable verification while maintaining data confidentiality in accordance with EN 15804:2012. This information includes (a) analysis of material and energy flows to justify the inclusion or exclusion, including mass and water balance, (b) quantitative description of unit processes that are defined to model processes and life cycle stages of the declared unit, (c) attribution of process and life cycle data to datasets of an LCA software, (d) LCIA results per modules of unit processes, (e) LCIA results per production plant/product if generic data is declared from several plants or for a range of similar products, (f) documentation that substantiates the percentages and figures used for the calculations in the end-of-life scenario and Reference Service Life (RSL) values, (g) documentation that substantiates the percentages and figures used for the calculations in the PCR.

2.4 Valuation of energy-related investments

More recently, another European Standard **prEN 17463:2020 [9]** released by the Technical Committee CEN/CLC/JTC 14 "Energy efficiency and energy management in the framework of the energy transition". This report lays forth the criteria for valuing energy-related investments (VALERI). It explains how to collect, calculate, assess, and data will be displayed in order to build good financial models for ERIs using Net Present Value calculations. The benchmark can be used to determine the value of any energy-related investment. The document is primarily concerned with valuing and documenting the economic implications of ERIs. However, non-economic effects (e.g. noise reduction) that could arise as a result of an expenditure are also taken into account. Therefore, qualitative effects (e.g. impact on the environment)– even though they are non-monetizable – are taken into account.



This European Standard aims to assist proposers of energy-related investments (ERIs) in evaluating their concepts financially and subjectively in a unified, clear, and coherent manner by creating all necessary significant data for a choice and to produce comparable outcomes (It is critical to verify that the cash flow approximation is performed in a comparable manner by applying correct price fluctuations, marginal pricing for all cash flows, etc.), its objective is to assist the valuation elaborator in producing assessment findings that are easily understandable from those who make decisions, to assist decision-makers and potential financial organizations who make decisions based on profitability outcomes and anticipate the outcomes to be accurate and reliable but also simple to comprehend, retraceable, and explicit (material), and to accompany other guidelines or policies and procedures that put emphasis on the technical perseverance of saving energy.

Organizations and households will be able to determine the economic benefit coming from ERIs by using straightforward formulas that include retraceable parameters that reveal the overall worth of ERIs. The proposed approach could be applied to energy audits and reviews (based on EN 16247-1) when prioritizing energy improvement potentials.

The valuation procedure of an ERI includes four phases, as it is depicted in Figure 4:

- setting up a model,
- calculation,
- assessment and
- reporting



Figure 4: Valuation procedure [9]



2.5 Sustainability performance of buildings

The most recent European approach to assess and report on the sustainability performance of buildings throughout the entire life cycle of buildings is **Level(s)** [13]. Using existing standards, the Level(s) framework and, therefore, its indicators provide a shared identity for sustainable development that can be used effectively on construction projects and portfolios or as a foundation for other proposals, regulations, strategies, and actions, such as life cycle thinking and circularity. Level(s) delivers the fewest amount of indicators with the most potential to provide sustainability. It monitors performance throughout the varying phases of a development project to provide a full picture of the pproject'slifespan. Each indicator within the Level(s) framework is intended to correlate the effect of the specific building with European sustainability goals. Level(s) is separated into three sections, each having a subject and expected outcomes:

- resource use and environmental performance during a building's life cycle
- health and comfort
- cost, value, and risk

Level(s) introduces circularity and life cycle thinking, trying to make these subjects available to everybody. It is a helpful framework dedicated to enhancing environmental performance and resource utilization, as well as lowering the built eenvironment'sinfluence on global resources. The Level(s) approach enables customers to consider the entire life cycle of a building, offering a foundation for quantifying, analyzing, and understanding the life cycle, and targets a variety of circularity features, delivering indicators that can better clarify how to expand the functionality of the building.



3 Methodology

D^2EPC includes a set of indicators which is related to the environmental and energy performance of buildings. The importance of employing LCA methodologies for the efficient energy design of buildings and for enabling the parameterization of its embodied energy and primary energy demand are highlighted for their inclusion in the dynamic EPCs, mainly addressed to relevant stakeholders, as well as to practicing engineers and EPC assessors, anticipating to implement the principles of D^2EPC in buildings certification. It is proposed that the energy and environmental D2EPC indicators, which illustrate building sustainability impact, be included in the next-generation EPCs.

The progress of the D^2EPC environmental indicators is based on the Level(s) scheme, the EU sustainability assessment for constructions outline. Level(s) is the most recent European approach to assessing and reporting on the sustainability performance of buildings throughout their entire life cycle, correlating the effects with European sustainability goals. Using existing standards, the Level(s) approach provides a shared identity for sustainable development, offering a foundation for quantifying, analyzing, and understanding the life cycle, and targets a variety of circularity features, delivering indicators that can better clarify how to expand the functionality of the building. It is a helpful framework dedicated to enhancing environmental performance and resource utilization, as well as lowering the built eenvironment'sinfluence on global resources. The usage of real-time data collected for the development of the energy indicators for EPCs is significantly contributing to the maximization of the energy savings and the achievement of carbon reductions of the buildings, as well as complementing the SRIs, social and economic indicators for the issuing of truly sustainable EPCs.



Figure 5: Environmental indicators extraction





Figure 6 System's boundaries for the LCA analysis materials

3.1 Calculation of Energy and Environmental D^2EPC indicators

The extraction of the LCA results (construction materials, transportation, construction/installation, and deconstruction) of the environmental indicators for a building through a BIM file is presented in **Figure 5**. The results are extracted based on the environmental indicators of Level(s). Through this analysis, the values of the environmental indicators depicted above are shown in detail for stages of (a) construction materials, (b) transportation to site, (c) construction/installation process, and (d) end of life, as well as the total values for each indicator. As a values' result, the environmental footprint for each construction material and each category of the structural element is observed.

Simplified energy indicators, such as total energy over area per annum, cannot describe thoroughly the energy performance of a building adequately. Thus, the D^2EPC energy indicators are intended to cover present gaps in building performance indicators that can be utilized in conjunction with the increasingly accessible system-level data from the growing use of sensors and meters in buildings to quantify and analyze energy performance. The methodology used for creating energy indicators values is the operational rating, and the calculations are based on the data retrieved by the building's regular measurements, where these are available. Implementing appropriate energy and environmental building evaluation techniques on a wide scale is required to stimulate market demand for sustainable practices in the built environment.

3.1.1 Energy Indicators

The operational rating scheme is used for the calculation, and a complete list of 25 data results, from four categories, is presented in **Table 2**. These energy indicators are a result of measurement values – where these are available- retrieved by the building automation and control systems, as well as by smart meters.

It is noted that the operational assessment of heating and cooling consumption is conducted per energy carrier. In those cases that there is a sole energy carrier either for heating or cooling or for both,



the indicators specified per carrier are equal to the indicators specified per total energy. The same applies to domestic hot water (DHW) consumption.

| Usage | Indicator Name | Indicator Description | Units |
|-----------------------------------|--|---|-----------------|
| building | Total Power/Occupancy | This indicator shows the ratio of the total power consumption of the building in kWh over the total number of occupants | kWh/occupants |
| Power consumption of the building | Total Power/Occupancy- Hours | This indicator shows the ratio of the total power consumption of the building in kWh over the total number of hours that occupants spend in the building | kWh/h*occupants |
| er consum | Total Power/Area | This indicator displays the ratio of the total power consumption of the building in kWh over the total surface area of the building | kWh/m² |
| Роме | Total Power/Volume | This indicator displays the ratio of the total power consumption of the building in kWh over the total volume of the building | kWh/m³ |
| | Heating consumption per energy carrier/Occupancy | This indicator shows the ratio of the heating power consumption of the building in kWh over the total number of occupants | kWh/occupants |
| | Heating consumption per energy carrier/Occupancy- Hours | This indicator shows the ratio of the heating power consumption of the building in kWh over the total number of hours that occupants spend in the building | kWh/h*occupants |
| Heating | Heating consumption per energy carrier/Area | This indicator displays the ratio of the heating power consumption of the building in kWh over the total surface area of the building | kWh/m² |
| Неа | Heating consumption per energy carrier/Volume | This indicator displays the ratio of the heating power consumption of the building in kWh over the total volume of the building | kWh/m³ |
| | Weather-Normalized Heating Energy Consumption | A positive number means usage was added. A Negative Number is good. It means usage was avoided compared to the Weather Normalized Usage from the Baseline Year. Furthermore, a Positive Number is unfavorable. It signifies that use increased when in comparison to the Baseline Year's Weather Normalized Usage. | |
| Cooling | Cooling consumption per energy carrier/Occupancy | This indicator shows the ratio of the cooling power consumption of the building in kWh over the total number of occupants | kWh/occupants |
| CC | Cooling consumption per energy | This indicator shows the ratio of the cooling power consumption of the building in kWh | kWh/h*occupants |

Table 2: Energy Indicators



| | carrier/Occupancy- | over the total number of hours that | |
|---|------------------------------|--|--------------------|
| | Hours | occupants spend in the building | - |
| | Cooling consumption | This indicator displays the ratio of the | kWh/m² |
| | per energy carrier/Area | cooling power consumption of the building | |
| | | in kWh over the total surface area of the building | |
| - | Cooling consumption | This indicator displays the ratio of the | kWh/m ³ |
| | per energy | cooling power consumption of the building | K VV II / III |
| | carrier/Volume | in kWh over the total volume of the | |
| | | building | |
| | Weather-Normalized | A positive figure indicates that | |
| | Cooling Energy | consumption has increased. It's better to | |
| | Consumption | have a negative number. When compared | |
| | | to the Weather Normalized Usage from the Baseline Year, it means that usage was | |
| | | averted. Moreover, a Positive Number is | |
| | | unfavorable. It signifies that use increased | |
| | | when in comparison to the Baseline Year's | |
| | | Weather Normalized Usage. | |
| | Lighting/Occupancy | This indicator shows the ratio of the total | kWh/occupants |
| | | lighting power consumption of the building | |
| | | in kWh over the total number of occupants | |
| - | Lighting (Occupancy | This indicator shows the ratio of the total | kWh/h*occupants |
| | Lighting/Occupancy- Hours | lighting power consumption of the building | |
| | nours | in kWh over the total number of hours that | |
| ting | | occupants spend in the building | |
| Lighting | Lighting/Area | This indicator displays the ratio of the total | kWh/m ² |
| | | lighting power consumption of the building | |
| | | in kWh over the total surface area of the | |
| | | building | - |
| | Lighting/Volume | This indicator displays the ratio of the total | kWh/m³ |
| | | lighting power consumption of the building in kWh over the total volume of the | |
| | | building | |
| | Electrical Appliances | This indicator shows the ratio of the total | kWh/occupants |
| | Energy Consumption | energy consumption of the electrical | kini, occupanto |
| rgy | /Occupancy | appliances in the building in kWh over the | |
| Ene | | total number of occupants | |
| ces ion | Electrical Appliances | This indicator shows the ratio of the total | kWh/h*occupants |
| al Appliances Consumption | Energy Consumption | energy consumption of the electrical | |
| ppl | /Occupancy-Hours | appliances in the building in kWh over the total number of hours that occupants | |
| al A Cor | | spend in the building | |
| Electrical Appliances Energy Consumption | Electrical Appliances | This indicator displays the ratio of the total | kWh/m ² |
| Elec | Energy Consumption | energy consumption of the electrical | , |
| | /Area | appliances in the building in kWh over the | |
| | | total surface area of the building | |



| | Electrical Appliances | This indicator displays the ratio of the total | kWh/m ³ |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|--|--------------------|
| | Energy Consumption | energy consumption of the electrical | KVVH/HI |
| | | | |
| | /Volume | appliances in the building in kWh over the | |
| | | total volume of the building | |
| | DHW power | This indicator shows the ratio of the DHW | kWh/occupants |
| _ | consumption per | power consumption of the building in kWh | |
| cior | energy | over the total number of occupants | |
| npt | carrier/Occupancy | | |
| sur | DHW power | This indicator shows the ratio of the DHW | kWh/h*occupants |
| on | consumption per | power consumption of the building in kWh | |
| er C | energy | over the total number of hours that | |
| ate | carrier/Occupancy- | occupants spend in the building | |
| t 🔨 | Hours | | |
| Ho | DHW power | This indicator displays the ratio of the DHW | kWh/m ² |
| tic | consumption per | power consumption of the building in kWh | |
| nes | energy carrier/Area | over the total surface area of the building | |
| Domestic Hot Water Consumption | DHW power | This indicator displays the ratio of the DHW | kWh/m ³ |
| | consumption per | power consumption of the building in kWh | |
| | energy carrier/Volume | over the total volume of the building | |

3.1.2 Environmental Indicators

LCA Level(s) tool is used in the assessment, and a complete list of 17 data result terms are presented in Table 3. These environmental indicators are asset indicators and may be calculated through the combination of materials bill of quantities, derived by a BIM document, and buildings materials EPDs. 1]

| Table 3: | LCA | Ind | icators | [11 |
|----------|-----|-----|---------|-----|
|----------|-----|-----|---------|-----|

| Indicator Name | Indicator Description | Units |
|---|---|---|
| Climate change (global warming potential) | Indicator of potential global warming due to emissions of greenhouse gases to the air. Climate change is defined as the impact of human emissions on the radiative forcing (i.e. heat radiation absorption) of the atmosphere. This may, in turn, have adverse impacts on ecosystem health, human health, and material welfare. Most of these emissions enhance radiative forcing, causing the temperature at the earth's surface to rise, i.e. the greenhouse effect. The areas of protection are human health, the natural environment, and the man-made environment. | kg CO ₂ equivalents per kg [kg CO ₂ eq/ kg] |
| Ozone depletion potential | Indicator of emissions to air that causes the destruction of the stratospheric ozone layer. | kg CFC 11 equivalents [kg CFC 11 eq] |
| Acidification potential | Decrease in the pH-value of rainwater and fog measure, which has the effect of ecosystem damage due to, for example, nutrients being washed out of soils and increased solubility of metals into soils. Acidifying pollutants have a wide variety of impacts on soil, groundwater, surface waters, biological | mole H+ equivalents [mol H+ eq] kg SO ₂ equivalents per kg [kg CO ₂ eq/ kg] |



| | organisms, ecosystems, and materials (buildings). The major acidifying pollutants are SO2, NOx, and NHx. Areas of protection are the natural environment, the man-made environment, human health, and natural resources. | |
|--|--|--------------------------------|
| Eutrophication | Excessive growth of aquatic plants or algal blooms as | kg P equivalents [kg |
| aquatic freshwater | a result of increased nutrient levels in freshwater. | P eq] |
| | Freshwater ecotoxicity refers to the impacts of toxic | |
| | substances on freshwater aquatic ecosystems. | |
| Eutrophication | Marine ecosystem reaction measurement to an | kg N equivalents [kg |
| aquatic marine | excessive availability of a limiting nutrient. | N eq] |
| Eutrophication | Increased nutrient availability measurement in soil as | mole N equivalents |
| terrestrial | a result of input of plant nutrients. | [mol N eq] |
| Photochemical | Emissions of nitrogen oxides (NOx), and non-methane | kg NMVOC |
| ozone formation | volatile organic compounds (NMVOC) measurement and consequent effects on the 'Human Health' and 'Terrestrial ecosystems' areas of protection. Photo- oxidant formation is the formation of reactive chemical compounds such as ozone by the action of sunlight on certain primary air pollutants. These reactive compounds may be injurious to human health, and ecosystems may also damage crops. The relevant areas of protection are human health, the man-made environment, the natural environment, and natural resources. | equivalents [kg NMVOC eq.] |
| Depletion of abiotic | Indicator of the depletion of natural non-fossil | kg Sb equivalents |
| resources - | resources. "Abiotic resources" are natural sources | [kg Sb eq] |
| minerals and metals Depletion of abiotic | (especially energy resources) such as iron ore, crude oil, and wind energy are examples. Among the most commonly mentioned impact categories is abiotic resource depletion, which has resulted in a wide range of solutions available for characterizing contributions to this category. To a large extent, these different methodologies reflect differences in problem definition. Depending on the definition, this impact category includes only natural resources, or natural resources, human health and the natural environment, among its areas of protection. Indicator of natural fossil fuel resource depletion. | Mega Joules [MJ] |
| resources – fossil fuel | | |
| Water use | Indicator of the amount of water required to dilute toxic elements emitted into water or soil. | Cubic meters [m ³] |
| Use stage energy | "Operational energy consumption": primary energy | kilowatt-hours per |
| performance | demand measurement of a building in the use stage, | square meter per |
| - | generation of low carbon or renewable energy. | year (kWh/m ² /yr) |
| Life cycle Global | "Carbon footprint assessment" or "whole life carbon | kg CO ₂ equivalents |
| Warming Potential | measurement": building's contribution to greenhouse | per square meter |
| | gas (GHG) emissions measurement associated with | per year (kg CO ₂ |
| | earth's global warming or climate change. | eq./m²/yr |



| Bill of quantities, | The quantities and mass of construction products and | Unit quantities, |
|---------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| materials, and | materials, as well as estimation of the lifespans | mass, and years |
| lifespans | measurement important to finish specific elements of | |
| | the structure. | |
| Construction & | The overall quantity of waste and materials generated | kg of waste and |
| demolition waste | by construction, renovation, and demolition activities; | materials per m ² |
| and materials | used to calculate the diversion rate to reuse and | total useful floor |
| | recycling, harmonized with the waste ladder. | area |
| Design for | Building design extent assessment of facilitation | Adaptability score |
| adaptability and | future adaptation to changing occupier needs and | |
| renovation | property market conditions; a building proxy capacity | |
| | to continue to fulfill its function and for the possibility | |
| | to extend its useful service life into the future. | |
| Design for | Building design extent assessment of facilitation | Deconstruction |
| deconstruction, | prospective material reclamation for reuse and | score |
| reuse, and | recycling, including assessment of deconstruction for | |
| recycling | a limited range of building components ease, followed | |
| | by the reuse and recycling of these components, as | |
| | well as the sub-assemblies and substances that go | |
| | along ease. | |
| Use stage water | The overall water use of a typical building user with | m ³ /yr of water per |
| consumption | the ability to break this amount into potable and non- | occupant |
| | potable water consumption, supplied water, as well | |
| | as support measurement of the water-scarce | |
| | locations identification. | |



4 Level(s)

Level(s) provides a set of indicators and common metrics for measuring the environmental performance of buildings along their life cycle. It allows for the assessment of other essential types of building performance, such as health and comfort, life cycle cost, and possible future vulnerabilities to effectiveness, in addition to environmental performance.

The goal of Level(s) is to create a general terminology for building sustainability. This shared vocabulary should make it possible to conduct measures at the building level that contribute to greater European environmental policy goals. It is organized in this manner:



Figure 7: Diagram of Level(s) objectives

The Level(s) concept also tries to encourage life cycle thinking. It leads users from a narrow perspective on particular process of developing building performance to a more holistic view, with the ultimate goal of increasing the adoption of Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) and Life Cycle Cost Assessment (LCCA) across Europe (LCCA).

In this case study of the New Wing building, the LCA analysis and results will be extracted for the environmental indicators defined by the Level(s) database.

4.1 Environmental indicators

The indicators are explained in more detail below:

Climate change (global warming potential) (kg CO₂ equivalents per kg): Indicator of probable global warming linked to greenhouse gas releases into the environment. Climate change is defined as the impact of human emissions on the radiative forcing (i.e. heat radiation absorption) of the atmosphere. This may, in turn, have adverse impacts on ecosystem health, human health, and material welfare. Most of these emissions enhance radiative forcing, causing the temperature at the earth's surface to rise, i.e. the greenhouse effect. The areas of protection are human health, the natural environment, and the man-made environment.



Ozone depletion potential (kg CFC 11 equivalents): Indicator of pollutants into the atmosphere that cause the stratospheric ozone layer to deplete.

Acidification potential (kg SO₂ equivalents per kg): Reduced pH value of precipitation and fog measurement, which has the consequence of ecosystem degradation due to nutrients being rinsed out of soils and increased metal absorption in soils, for example. Acidifying pollutants have a wide variety of impacts on soil, groundwater, surface waters, biological organisms, ecosystems, and materials (buildings). The major acidifying pollutants are SO₂, NO_x, and NH_x. Areas of protection are The natural environment, the man-made surroundings, public health, and environmental assets.

Eutrophication aquatic freshwater (kg P equivalents): Excessive growth measurement of aquatic plants or algal blooms, because of the high quantities of nutrients in freshwater. Fresh water ecotoxicity refers to the impacts of toxic substances on freshwater aquatic ecosystems.

Eutrophication aquatic marine (kg N equivalents): marine ecosystem reaction measurement to an excessive availability of a limiting nutrient.

Eutrophication terrestrial (mole N equivalents): increased nutrient availability measurement in soil as a result of input of plant nutrients.

Photochemical ozone formation (kg NMVOC equivalents): emissions of nitrogen oxides (NOx), and non-methane volatile organic compounds (NMVOC) measurement and consequent effects on the 'Human Health' and 'Terrestrial ecosystems' areas of protection. The creation of reactive chemical compounds such as ozone by the impact of sunlight on some of these major air pollutants is known as a photo-oxidant formation. These energetic chemicals have the potential to harm human health as well as ecosystems may also damage crops. The relevant areas of protection are human health, the man-made environment, the natural environment, and natural resources.

Depletion of abiotic resources - minerals and metals (kg Sb equivalents): Indicator of the depletion of natural non-fossil resources. "Abiotic resources" are natural sources (including energy resources) such as iron ore, crude oil, and wind energy, which are regarded as non-living. Among the most widely mentioned impact categories is abiotic resource depletion, which has resulted in a wide range of solutions available for characterizing contributions to this category. To a large extent, these different methodologies reflect differences in problem definition. Depending on the definition, this impact category includes only natural resources, or natural resources, human health, and the natural environment, among its areas of protection.

Depletion of abiotic resources – fossil fuel (Mega Joules): Indicator of natural fossil fuel resource depletion.

Water use (Cubic meters): Indicator of the amount of water required to dilute toxic elements emitted into water or soil.

Use stage energy performance (kilowatt-hours per square meter per year): 'operational energy consumption': primary energy demand measurement of a building in the use stage, generation of low carbon or renewable energy.

Life cycle Global Warming Potential (kg CO₂ equivalents per square meter per year): 'carbon footprint assessment' or 'whole life carbon measurement': building's contribution to greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions measurement associated with eearth'sglobal warming or climate change.

Bill of quantities, materials, and lifespans (Unit quantities, mass, and years): The quantities and mass of construction products and materials, as well as estimation of the lifespans measurement necessary to complete defined parts of the building.

Construction & demolition waste and materials (kg of waste and materials per m² total useful floor area): The overall quantity of waste and materials generated by construction, renovation, and



demolition activities; used to calculate the diversion rate to reuse and recycling, coordinated with the waste pyramid.

Design for adaptability and renovation (Adaptability score): Building design extent assessment of facilitation upcoming adjustment to evolving occupant desires and property market conditions; a building proxy capacity o continue to serve its purpose and to have the option of extending its effective service future life.

Design for deconstruction, reuse, and recycling (Deconstruction score): Building design extent assessment of facilitation future recovery of materials for reuse of recycling, including assessment of the disassembly for a minimum scope of building parts ease, followed by the pieces and their accompanying sub-assemblies and materials can be reused and recycled with simplicity. **Use stage water consumption** (m³/yr of water per occupant): The entire water usage of an average building inhabitant is measured, with the possibility of splitting this figure into potable and non-potable supplied water, as well as support measurement of the identification of the water-scarce location.

The results relate to the **life stages of the building**: (a) construction materials A1-A3, (b) transportation to site A4, (c) construction/installation process A5, (c) use phase (B1), (d) repair (B3), (e) material replacement and refurbishment (B4-B5), (f) energy use (B6), (g) water use (B7), (h) end of life C1-C4. Based on the specific process of exporting prices of environmental indicators, results are obtained basically for the four life stages of the building: construction materials (A1-A3), transportation to site (A4), construction and installation (B5), and end of life (C1-C4). Description of the main life cycle stages and analysis scope are provided in the table below.

| A1-A3 Constru Materials | ction Raw material supply (A1) includes emissions generated when raw materials are taken from nature transported to industrial units for processing and processed. Loss of raw material and energy are also taken into account. Emissions from the transit of all goods from suppliers to the mmanufacturer'sproduction plant are included in the transportation impacts (A2) as well as impacts of the production of fuels. Production impacts (A3) cover the manufacturing of the production materials and fuels used by machines, as well as handling of waste formed in the production processes at the manufacturer's production plants until the end-of-waste state. |
|--|--|
| A4 Transportation to si | te A4 includes exhaust emissions from the transportation of building products from the manufacturer's production plant to the building site along with the environmental effects of the production of the used fuel. |
| A5 Construction/installation process | A5 covers the exhaust emissions resulting from using energy during the site operations, the environmental impacts of production processes of fuel and energy and water, as well as handling of waste until the end-of-waste state. |
| B1-B5 Maintenance material replacement | and The environmental impacts of maintenance and material replacements (B1-B5) include environmental impacts from replacing building products after they reach the end of their service life. The emissions cover impacts from raw material supply, transportation, and production of the replacing new material as well as the impacts from manufacturing the replacing material as well as handling of waste until the end-of-waste state. |

Table 4: Table of life-cycle stages description [OneClick LCA]



| B6 Energy use | The considered use phase energy consumption (B6) impacts include exhaust emissions from any building level energy production as well as the environmental impacts of production processes of fuel and externally produced energy. Energy transmission losses are also taken into account. |
|---|--|
| B7 Water use | The considered use phase water consumption (B7) impacts include the environmental impacts of production processes of fresh water and the impacts from wastewater treatment. |
| C1-C4 Deconstruction | The impacts of deconstruction include impacts for processing recyclable construction waste flows for recycling (C3) until the end- of-waste stage or the impacts of pre-processing and landfilling for waste streams that cannot be recycled (C4) based on the type of material. Additionally, deconstruction impacts include emissions caused by waste energy recovery. |
| D External impacts/end-of- life benefits | The external benefits include emission benefits from recycling recyclable building waste. Benefits for re-used or recycled material types include positive impact of replacing virgin-based material with recycled material and benefits for materials that can be recovered for energy cover positive impact for replacing other energy streams based on average impacts of energy production. |



5 Operational rating and energy indicators

Quantifying building energy performance via the formulation and use of key performance indicators (KPIs) is a critical step in attaining energy-saving targets in both new and existing structures. However, the current methods for evaluating improvements are not adequately reflected at the system level (e.g., lighting, plug-loads, HVAC, service water heating). Instead, they are usually only measured at the aggregated equipment level (e.g., energy use intensity) or at the equipment level (e.g., chiller efficiency coefficient of performance (COP)) with limited insights for benchmarking and diagnosing deviations in pooled technology that delivers a certain function to a building in terms of effectiveness (e.g., space heating, lighting). The expanding installation of sensors and meters in buildings through enhanced data gathering makes evaluating building performance at the system level more realistic.

The energy indicators proposed in this work are intended to cover present gaps in building performance indicators that can be utilized in conjunction with the increasingly accessible system-level data from the growing use of sensors and meters in buildings to quantify and analyze energy performance. For instance, in performance benchmarking, system-level KPIs might be a suitable supplement to the whole-building EUI since they give additional insight into how a system performs in comparison to the same system in other buildings.

5.1 Energy performance indicators in general

In theory, an energy indicator might be purely based on raw data on energy consumption in kWh, MJ, and other units for a certain time period. In most situations, however, the energy indicator should be defined as a key-value for energy performance, with energy consumption normalized to a constant reference to account for variations in production or climate conditions. A theoretical ideal energy indicator offers stable information about the energy performance of a given process without being influenced by circumstances other than the actual operation. However, in reality, such an indication is unlikely to be practical because the majority of indicators are impacted by external variables, sometimes to such an extent that the indicator becomes unstable and useless as a management tool.

5.2 The numerator

The motivating factor behind applying environmental assessment methodologies is energy efficiency. This is owing to the fact that the global construction sector is putting a strain on natural resources, which is reflected in the massive demand for energy. Environmental assessment techniques created in the manner outlined above, it might be claimed, can lead to a new understanding of climate impact on the built environment. The implementation of appropriate environmental building evaluation techniques on a wide scale is required to stimulate market demand for sustainable practices in the built environment **[18]**.

The energy consumption per area per year is the primary metric now employed in the field of building energy consumption (kWh/m²a). According to Fokaides et al. (2017) **[19]** analysis, this indicator is not the best suitable measurement for determining a bbuilding'sreal energy performance in certain situations. It should be highlighted that neither the European CCommission'sState of the Energy Union report (European Commission, 2017) **[20]** on key energy indicators nor the International Energy AAgency'sEnergy Efficiency Indicators report (International Energy Agency, 2016) **[21]** include this indication. Furthermore, a more holistic approach to building energy efficiency should be derived by



taking into account energy usage per person for the period the spaces were occupied. When analyzing the energy behaviour of the inhabitants, end-energy and primary-energy usage should be taken into account. The true parameter that should be addressed in order to give a consistent correlation about the lifestyle and energy habits of inhabitants is the end-energy, as this represents the ooccupants'actual utilization habits. Since the energy mix of each country affects the macroeconomics of energy consumption and the extraction of national indicators, primary energy usage should only be evaluated for these two indications. However, studies focused on building energy performance and the influence of new policies or initiatives that should utilize the energy spent on-site.

Many problems remain in the realm of energy efficiency indicators, according to Boemi and Tziogas (2016) **[22]** research, including more accurate KPIs that account for building characteristics, occupant behavior, and environmental context. A few criteria to consider in energy analytical techniques for both existing buildings and under construction include (i) accuracy; (ii) sensitivity; (iii) speed; (iv) reproducibility; (v) ease of use and level of detail; (vi) availability of required data; (vii) output quality; and (viii) project stage (for structures during the construction phase).

Building energy consumption is frequently represented in terms of kWh of yearly supplied energy - final energy; primary energy; energy cost; or, in terms of a climate effect, in units of CO_2 equivalent. These can also be broken down by energy sources, such as on-site and off-site renewables.

5.3 The denominator

The numerator (kWh, kgCO2, cost, etc.) per time interval (typically a year) must be divided by something to develop an energy-use indicator (EUI). In **Table 5** are listed some of the most often used denominators, as well as some of their strengths and weaknesses, based on Bordass (2020) **[23]** research. Internal floor space is a common starting point since it is more easily recorded (e.g. in leases) and auditable than occupancy, though it is still susceptible to uncertainty.

| Denominator | Strengths | Weaknesses | Comments |
|---|--|--|---|
| Floor area (m2) | Measure of useful space. Frequently documented, though not usually appropriate. | Buildings that aaren'theavily used may be rewarded unless the degree of use is accounted for in a certain manner. | Floor area resolutions (e.g. gross, net, usable, internal, external, treated (heated), etc.) and definitions can vary widely between sector and country |
| Volume (m3) | Used in some sectors | Not routinely recorded. Tall ceilings help with natural ventilation and light. Lower ceilings exacerbate inequalities in EUI when there is air conditioning. | Typically, this is not very useful. It may be appropriate for industries (e.g., warehouses) wherein volume can be contained by height. It is often preferable to have unique sector criteria for each location. |
| Number of occupants or occupant-hours | In some sectors, the bbuilding's''roductivity''is indicated. | Ilt'schallenging to track occupants (and occupant- hours) accurately. Overestimation has frequently been | It is best used as a backup indicator or in situations where capacity data are solid (e.g. school rolls). As occupation tracking |

Table 5: Denominators often used in energy-use indicators



| | employed to ''nhance''EUIs. | technology progresses, it will become increasingly beneficial. |
|--|--------------------------------|--|
|--|--------------------------------|--|

The differences in area definitions between sectors and jurisdictions make comparisons challenging. While standard units are important, common usage must also be considered.

Standard EN 15203 (CEN 2005) **[14]** defines Operational Ratings for EU energy certificates as the total of the weighted yearly consumption of each kind of energy provided (imports fewer exports) per m2 of usable floor space. Member states of the EU might set their own weightings for the EPBD, depending on primary energy factors, energy costs, CO2 emission factors, or other policy drivers. A new amendment (European Parliament and Council 2018) **[16]** now mandates uniform reporting in primary energy units.



6 Calculation of Energy Indicators

6.1 Power

The first energy indicators concern the total power consumption of the building.

6.1.1 Total Power/Occupancy

This indicator shows the ratio of the total power consumption of the building in kWh over the total number of occupants (kWh/occupants)

total power consumption

total number of occupants

6.1.2 Total Power/Occupancy-Hours

This indicator shows the ratio of the total power consumption of the building in kWh over the total number of hours that occupants spend in the building (kWh/h*occupants)

total power consumption

total number of occupants * hours of the occupants spend in the building

6.1.3 Total Power/Area

This indicator displays the ratio of the total power consumption of the building in kWh over the total surface area of the building (kWh/m^2)

total power consumption total surface area

6.1.4 Total Power/Volume

This indicator displays the ratio of the total power consumption of the building in kWh over the total volume of the building (kWh/m^3)

total power consumption total volume of the building

6.2 Heating

It is noted that the operational assessment of heating consumption is conducted per energy carrier. In those cases that there is a sole energy carrier for heating, the indicators specified per carrier are equal to the indicators specified per total energy.

These indicators are about the power consumption needed for heating per energy carrier in a building.



6.2.1 Heating consumption/Occupancy

This indicator shows the ratio of the heating power consumption per energy carrier of the building in kWh over the total number of occupants (kWh/occupants)

heating power consumption per energy carrier

total number of occupants

6.2.2 Heating consumption/Occupancy-Hours

This indicator shows the ratio of the heating power consumption per energy carrier of the building in

kWh over the total number of hours that occupants spend in the building (kWh/h*occupants)

heating power consumption per energy carrier

total number of occupants * hours of the occupants spend in the building

6.2.3 Heating consumption/Area

This indicator displays the ratio of the heating power consumption per energy carrier of the building in kWh over the total surface area of the building (kWh/m^2)

heating power consumption per energy carrier total area of the building

6.2.4 Heating consumption/Volume

This indicator displays the ratio of the heating power consumption per energy carrier of the building in kWh over the total volume of the building (kWh/m^3)

heating power consumption per energy carrier

total volume of the building

6.2.5 Weather-Normalized Heating Energy Consumption

Following the steps below to compare the Weather Normalized Usage from the Baseline Year.

- 1. For both the evaluation and baseline year, we take the heating degree days (HDD) for the
 - heating period
- 2. Then calculate the percentage between the evaluation year and baseline year.

Evaluation Year CDD Base Year CDD

3. Multiply the degree day percentage between the Evaluation year and Baseline year times the Actual heating energy usage in the Baseline year.



Evaluation Year CDD Base Year CDD * Actual heating energy usage in Baseline year

4. Subtract the amount from step 3 of the reference point from Actual Usage in the heating period of the Evaluation Year. The main distinction is Usage Avoidance, and a positive figure indicates that consumption has increased.

It is better to have a negative number. When tried to compare to the Weather Normalized Usage from the Baseline Year, it implies that utilization was averted. Furthermore, a Positive Number is unfavorable, and it signifies that usage increased when contrasted to the Weather Normalized Usage from the Baseline Year **[10]**.

6.3 Cooling

It is noted that the operational assessment of heating consumption is conducted per energy carrier. In cases where there is a sole energy carrier for heating, the indicators specified per carrier are equal to those specified per total energy.

These indicators are about the power consumption needed for cooling in a building.

6.3.1 Cooling consumption/Occupancy

This indicator shows the ratio of the cooling power consumption per energy carrier of the building in kWh over the total number of occupants (kWh/occupants)

cooling power consumption per energy carrier total number of occupants

6.3.2 Cooling consumption/Occupancy-Hours

This indicator shows the ratio of the cooling power consumption per energy carrier of the building in kWh over the total number of hours that occupants spend in the building (kWh/h*occupants)

cooling power consumption per energy carrier

total number of occupants * hours of the occupants spend in the building

6.3.3 Cooling consumption/Area

This indicator displays the ratio of the cooling power consumption per energy carrier of the building in kWh over the total surface area of the building (kWh/m^2)

cooling power consumption per energy carrier

total area of the building



6.3.4 Cooling consumption/Volume

This indicator displays the ratio of the cooling power consumption per energy carrier of the building in kWh over the total volume of the building (kWh/m^3)

cooling power consumption per energy carrier total volume of the building

6.3.5 Weather-Normalized Cooling Energy Consumption

Following the steps below to compare the Weather Normalized Usage from the Baseline Year.

- 5. For both the evaluation and baseline year, we take the cooling degree days (CDD) for the cooling period
- 6. Then calculate the percentage between the evaluation year and baseline year.

Evaluation Year CDD Base Year CDD

7. Multiply the degree day percentage between the Evaluation year and Baseline year times the Actual cooling energy usage in the Baseline year.

Evaluation Year CDD Base Year CDD * Actual cooling energy usage in Baseline year

8. Subtract the amount from step 3 of the baseline from Actual Usage in the cooling period of the Evaluation Year. The main distinction is Usage Avoidance, and a positive figure indicates that consumption has increased.

It is better to have a negative number. When tried to compare to the Weather Normalized Usage from the Baseline Year, it implies that utilization was averted. Furthermore, a Positive Number is unfavorable. It signifies that usage increased when contrasted to the Weather Normalized Usage from the Baseline Year. **[10]**.

6.4 Lighting

These indicators are concerning the power consumption used for the lighting of the building.



6.4.1 Lighting/Occupancy

This indicator shows the ratio of the total lighting power consumption of the building in kWh over the total number of occupants (kWh/occupants)

total lighting power consumption total number of occupants

6.4.2 Lighting/Occupancy-Hours

This indicator shows the ratio of the total lighting power consumption of the building in kWh over the total number of hours that occupants spend in the building (kWh/h*occupants)

total lighting power consumption total number of occupants * hours of the occupants spend in the building

6.4.3 Lighting/Area

This indicator displays the ratio of the total lighting power consumption of the building in kWh over the total surface area of the building (kWh/m^2)

total lighting power consumption total area of the building

6.4.4 Lighting/Volume

This indicator displays the ratio of the total lighting power consumption of the building in kWh over the total volume of the building (kWh/m^3)

total lighting power consumption total volume of the building

6.5 Electrical Appliances Energy Consumption

These indicators are concerning the total energy consumption of the electric appliances of the building. 6.5.1 Electrical Appliances Energy Consumption /Occupancy

This indicator shows the ratio of the total energy consumption of the electrical appliances in the building in kWh over the total number of occupants (kWh/occupants)

total energy consumption of the electrical appliances

total number of occupants



6.5.2 Electrical Appliances Energy Consumption /Occupancy-Hours

This indicator shows the ratio of the total energy consumption of the electrical appliances in the building in kWh over the total number of hours that occupants spend in the building (kWh/h*occupants)

total energy consumption of the electrical appliances total number of occupants * hours of the occupants spend in the building

6.5.3 Electrical Appliances Energy Consumption /Area

This indicator displays the ratio of the total energy consumption of the electrical appliances in the building in kWh over the total surface area of the building (kWh/m^2)

total energy consumption of the electrical appliances

total area of the building

6.5.4 Electrical Appliances Energy Consumption /Volume

This indicator displays the ratio of the total energy consumption of the electrical appliances in the building in kWh over the total volume of the building (kWh/m^3)

total energy consumption of the electrical appliances total volume of the building

6.6 Domestic Hot Water

It is noted that the operational assessment of domestic hot water (DHW) consumption is conducted per energy carrier. In those cases that there is a sole energy carrier for DHW, the indicators specified per carrier are equal to the indicators specified per total energy.

These indicators are about the power consumption needed for DHW per energy carrier in a building.

6.6.1 DHW consumption/Occupancy

This indicator shows the ratio of the heating power consumption per energy carrier of the building in kWh over the total number of occupants (kWh/occupants)

DHW power consumption per energy carrier total number of occupants



6.6.2 DHW consumption/Occupancy-Hours

This indicator shows the ratio of the heating power consumption per energy carrier of the building in kWh over the total number of hours that occupants spend in the building (kWh/h*occupants)

DHW power consumption per energy carrier

total number of occupants * hours of the occupants in the building

6.6.3 DHW consumption/Area

This indicator displays the ratio of the heating power consumption per energy carrier of the building in kWh over the total surface area of the building (kWh/m^2)

DHW power consumption per energy carrier

total area of the building

6.6.4 DHW consumption/Volume

This indicator displays the ratio of the heating power consumption per energy carrier of the building in kWh over the total volume of the building (kWh/m^3)

DHW power consumption per energy carrier total volume of the building



7 Conclusions

The aim of the deliverable was to examine the potential of integrating environmental and energy indicators into the next generation of EPCs. The pproject'sproposed set of indicators aims to improve EPC user-friendliness and raise user awareness by giving more knowledge.

Following a thorough literature review, existing knowledge, and method designing, the guideline for obtaining required data concerning environmental and energy indicators and providing instructions for the calculation to all interested parties was the result of this deliverable. By creating and implementing energy and environmental key performance indicators (KPIs) an essential step in achieving energy-saving targets is related to both new and existing structures. Additionally, the conversion of various parts of a bbuilding's energy performance into energy efficiency normalized results, as well as the use of EPCs for the life cycle assessment of building upgrades, are allowed. This information can encourage the user to compare and estimate their expenses related to building systems each month and be aware of the overall energy consumption of their building.

The European standards, as well as the efforts of EU member states in this area, lay the groundwork for the iindustry'sfuture growth. Each indicator in the Level(s) framework is meant to link the impact of a particular structure to European sustainability goals. Currently, the present criteria for assessing advances are not adequately reflected at the system level. Conversely, they are typically only measured at the aggregated equipment level or the equipment level, with limited insights for benchmarking and troubleshooting performance aberrations in aggregated equipment that offers a particular service to a structure.

In contrast to existing EPCs, which depend on certain environmental indicators on designed values and assumptions and do not include energy indicators at all, the next generation EPCs present energy efficiency ratings based on actual data consumption.

7.1 Plans for the second part of the task

In M34–M36, T2.3 has a second period. During this time, the methodology will be adjusted based on the pproject'sprogress and the results of other activities. More specifically, more measurement data will be available at that time, allowing the methodology to be thoroughly evaluated. Since the D^2EPC platform will be nearing completion, any new requirements will be established and addressed at that point by reinforcing the current method and testing the methodology on a real-life example.



References

- [1] EN 15643-1:2010, Sustainability of construction works Sustainability assessment of buildings -Part 1: General framework
- [2] EN 15643-2:2011, Sustainability of construction works Assessment of buildings Part 2: Framework for the assessment of environmental performance
- [3] EN 15643-3:2012, Sustainability of construction works Assessment of buildings Part 3: Framework for the assessment of social performance
- [4] EN 15643-4:2012, Sustainability of construction works Assessment of buildings Part 4: Framework for the assessment of economic performance
- [5] EN 15643:2021, Sustainability of construction works Framework for assessment of buildings and civil engineering works
- [6] EN 15643-5:2017, Sustainability of construction works Sustainability assessment of buildings and civil engineering works - Part 5: Framework on specific principles and requirement for civil engineering works
- [7] prEN 15978:2011, Sustainability of construction works Assessment of environmental performance of buildings Calculation method
- [8] prEN 15804:2014, Sustainability of construction works Environmental product declarations –
 Product category rules
- [9] prEN 17463:2020, Methodology for the Valuation of Energy Related Investments (ValERI)
- [10]EN 16627:2015, Sustainability of construction works Assessment of economic performance of buildings - Calculation methods
- [11]Level(s) A common EU framework of core sustainability indicators for office and residential buildings, JRC Science for Policy Report, Parts 1 and 2: Introduction to Level(s) and how it works (Beta v1.0)
- [12]CEN (European Committee for Standardization), 2017. EN ISO 52000-1, Energy performance of buildings.
- [13]Level(s) A common EU framework of core sustainability indicators for office and residential buildings, JRC Science for Policy Report, Parts 1 and 2: Introduction to Level(s) and how it works (Beta v1.0)
- [14]CEN. (2005). Standard EN 15203: Energy performance of buildings—Assessment of energy use and definition of ratings. Brussels: Comité Européen de Normalisation (CEN).
- [15]<u>https://help.dudesolutions.com/Content/Documentation/Energy/UtilityDirect/Reporting/Weat</u> <u>her%20Normalization%20Report%20Explanation%20Sheet.htm</u>



- [16] European Parliament & Council. (2018). Directive (EU) 2018/844 amending Directive 2010/31/EU on the energy performance of buildings and Directive 2012/27/EU on energy efficiency (30 May). Brussels: European Commission.
- [17]Li, H., Hong, T., Lee, S. H., & Sofos, M. (2020). System-level key performance indicators for building performance evaluation. Energy and Buildings, 209, 109703.
- [18]Addy, M. N., Adinyira, E., & Ayarkwa, J. (2017). Identifying and weighing indicators of building energy efficiency assessment in Ghana. Energy Procedia, 134, 161-170.
- [19]Fokaides, P. A., Polycarpou, K., & Kalogirou, S. (2017). The impact of the implementation of the European Energy Performance of Buildings Directive on the European building stock: The case of the Cyprus Land Development Corporation. Energy Policy, 111, 1-8.
- [20]European Commission, 2017. Monitoring progress towards the Energy Union objectives key indicators. SWD(2017) 32 final.
- [21] International Energy Agency, 2016. Energy efficiency indicators Highlights (2016 edition).
- [22]Boemi SN., Tziogas C. (2016) Indicators for Buildings' Energy Performance. In: Boemi SN., Irulegi
 O., Santamouris M. (eds) Energy Performance of Buildings. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-20831-2 5
- [23]Bordass, B. (2020). Metrics for energy performance in operation: the fallacy of single indicators.
 Buildings and Cities, 1(1), 260–276. DOI: <u>http://doi.org/10.5334/bc.35</u>
- [24]<u>https://help.dudesolutions.com/Content/Documentation/Energy/UtilityDirect/Reporting/Weat</u> <u>her%20Normalization%20Report%20Explanation%20Sheet.htm</u>



8 Appendix

8.1 Pilot example calculation

The measurements of the following example were taken from the sensors and relevant equipment installed in the Frederick University pilot building. The average usage values of power, heating, and cooling, lighting, as well as electrical appliances energy consumption for the months from June to November are presented in

Table 6. These values were deduced for the entire year (12 months).

The building introduced in this case study is a multi-use building with quite a diverse set of spaces, systems, and assets. The entire New Wing building covered is divided into three separate zones monitored in detail. The entire building is also covered in terms of energy monitoring, providing a complete data flow that fully depicts the building's status. The building was constructed in 2017 and is operating during the usual office hours of a University as it includes offices as well as seminar halls.

The building in Cyprus is located in the area of Palouriotissa, Nicosia, Y. Frederickou Str. (Longitude and Latitude 33°22'46.70 "E, 35°10'46.20 ""), Frederick UUniversity'snew wing building is a two-story 2100 m2 building, its volume is approximately 7,100m3 (including the basement floor/parking area), and it was built in 2007. The understudy building does not border with any other building. The building consists of a basement (area of 450 m2), ground floor (area of 545 m2), and two floors (area of 545 m2 on each floor). UUniversity'scafeteria is on the ground floor; on the first floor, there are three seminar halls of 220 students' capacity, and offices are found on the second floor. The building can host up to 390 people. The total height of the building is 15.60 m from the basement floor. The services that are provided within the building include heating, cooling, ventilation, lighting, and electrical appliances.

The examined area for this example refers to the second floor of the New Wing building, which is 487 m2, has a volume of 1450 m3. The occupancy of the floor is around 25 people and the working hours are equal to 1920 hours annually (20 days per month * 8 hours per day = 160 h per month *12 months). Table 6: Data collection for Electrical Appliances consumption of the second floor

| Month | Heating consumption [kWh] | Cooling consumption [kWh] | Lighting consumption [kWh] | Electrical appliances energy consumption [kWh] | Total Power consumption [kWh] |
|-----------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| June | 215,3 | 502,4 | 463,4 | 594,4 | 1775,5 |
| July | 771,4 | 1799,8 | 971,4 | 1425,7 | 4968,3 |
| August | 629,8 | 1469,6 | 788,4 | 1397,9 | 4285,7 |
| September | 551,7 | 1287,4 | 974,1 | 1299,3 | 4112,5 |
| October | 282,7 | 659,5 | 962,6 | 1137,2 | 3042 |
| November | 133,3 | 311,0 | 953,9 | 1058,5 | 2456,7 |
| Annual | 5168,4 | 12059,4 | 10227,6 | 13826,0 | 41281,4 |



8.1.1 Power

8.1.1.1 Total Power/Occupancy

 $\frac{\text{total power consumption}}{\text{total number of occupants}} = \frac{41281.4 \text{ kWh}}{25 \text{ p}} = 1651,3 \text{ kWh/occupants}$

8.1.1.2 Total Power/Occupancy-Hours

 $\frac{\text{total power consumption}}{\text{total number of occupants * hours of the occupants in the building}} = \frac{41281.4 \ kWh}{25p * 1920 \ h}$ $= 0.9 \ kW/people$

8.1.1.3 Total Power/Area

 $\frac{\text{total power consumption}}{\text{total surface area}} = \frac{41281.4 \ kWh}{487 \ m^2} = 84.8 \ kWh/m^2$

8.1.1.4 Total Power/Volume

 $\frac{\text{total power consumption}}{\text{total volume of the building}} = \frac{41281.4 \ kWh}{1450\text{m}^3} = 28.5 \ \text{kWh/m}^3$

8.1.2 Heating

8.1.2.1 Heating consumption/Occupancy

 $\frac{\text{heating power consumption per energy carrier}}{\text{total number of occupants}} = \frac{5168.4 \, kWh}{25 \, p} = 206.7 \, \text{kWh/occupants}$

8.1.2.2 Heating consumption/Occupancy-Hours

| heating power consumption per energy carrier | 5168.4 kWh |
|--|-------------|
| total number of occupants * hours of the occupants in the building | 25p * 1920h |
| = 0.11 kW/people | |

8.1.2.3 Heating consumption/Area

 $\frac{\text{heating power consumption per energy carrier}}{\text{total area of the building}} = \frac{5168.4 \, kWh}{487 \, m^2} = 10.6 \, kWh/m^2$

8.1.2.4 Heating consumption/Volume

 $\frac{\text{heating power consumption per energy carrier}}{\text{total volume of the building}} = \frac{5168.4 \text{ kWh}}{1450 \text{ m}^3} = 3.6 \text{ kWh/m}^3$



8.1.3 Cooling

8.1.3.1 Cooling consumption/Occupancy

 $\frac{\text{cooling power consumption per energy carrier}}{\text{total number of occupants}} = \frac{12059.4 \, kWh}{25 \, p} = 482.4 \, kWh/\text{occupants}$

8.1.3.2 Cooling consumption/Occupancy-Hours

 $\frac{\text{cooling power consumption per energy carrier}}{\text{total number of occupants * hours of the occupants in the building}} = \frac{12059.4 \, kWh}{25p * 1920h}$ $= 0.25 \, \text{kW/people}$

8.1.3.3 Cooling consumption/Area

 $\frac{\text{cooling power consumption per energy carrier}}{\text{total area of the building}} = \frac{12059.4 \text{ kWh}}{487 \text{ m}^2} = 24.8 \text{ kWh/m}^2$

8.1.3.4 Cooling consumption/Volume

| cooling power consumption per energy carrier | $=\frac{12059.4 kWh}{1450.2} = 8.32 kWh/m^3$ |
|--|--|
| total volume of the building | = 1450 m ³ $=$ 0.52 kWH/H |

8.1.4 Lighting

8.1.4.1 Lighting/Occupancy

 $\frac{\text{total lighting power consumption}}{\text{total number of occupants}} = \frac{10227.6 \, kWh}{25 \, p} = 409.1 \, \text{kWh/occupants}$

8.1.4.2 Lighting/Occupancy-Hours

 $\frac{\text{total lighting power consumption}}{\text{total number of occupants * hours of the occupants in the building}} = \frac{10227.6 \, kWh}{25p * 1920h}$ $= 0.21 \, \text{kW/people}$

8.1.4.3 Lighting/Area

 $\frac{\text{total lighting power consumption}}{\text{total area of the building}} = \frac{10227.6 \ kWh}{487 \ m^2} = 21.0 \ kWh/m^2$

8.1.4.4 Lighting/Volume

 $\frac{\text{total lighting power consumption}}{\text{total volume of the building}} = \frac{10227.6 \, kWh}{1450 \, \text{m}^3} = 7.1 \, \text{kWh/m}^3$



8.1.5 Electrical Appliances

8.1.5.1 Electrical Appliances Energy Consumption /Occupancy

| total energy consumption of the electrical appliances | _ 13826.0 kWh | = 553.0 kWh/occupants |
|---|---------------|-------------------------|
| total number of occupants | 25 p | - 555.0 KWII/ Occupants |

8.1.5.2 Electrical Appliances Energy Consumption /Occupancy-Hours

| total energy consumption of the electrical appliances | 13826.0 kWh |
|---|-----------------------------|
| total number of occupants $*$ hours of the occupants in the building = 0.29 kW/people | 25 <i>p</i> * 1920 <i>h</i> |

8.1.5.3 Electrical Appliances Energy Consumption /Area

| total energy consumption of the electrical appliances | $=\frac{13826.0 kWh}{1000} = 28.4 kWh/m^2$ |
|---|--|
| total area of the building | $=\frac{1}{487 m^2} = 20.4 \text{ kW h/m}$ |

8.1.5.4 Electrical Appliances Energy Consumption /Volume

| total energy consumption of the electrical appliances | $=\frac{13826.0 kWh}{1450.0 k} = 9.53 kWh/m^3$ |
|---|--|
| total volume of the building | $-\frac{1450 \text{ m}^3}{1450 \text{ m}^3}$ – 9.55 kwii/iii |